

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/064,166		HARMS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Victor K. Hwang		3764	

All Participants:

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

(2) James David Johnson.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed that claim 23 should depend from claim 22 and that correction would be made by Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Victor K. Hwang
Patent Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)